

Date:

|--|

IRU Academic Calibration Process

External Reviewer Evaluation Form

1a. To what extent did the materials provided for the ACP review give you the information needed to undertake the review? (Please mark the box that best represents your view).

Not at all	Somewhat	Adequately	Very Well	Completely

1b.Please indicate which items in the package were useful or not?

	Useful	Not Useful	Not Provided
Course Structure			
Course Learning Outcomes			
Unit/Subject Guide with unit/subject learning outcomes and			
assignment details			
Marking Guide for Core assessment item			
Information on how the learning outcomes of this unit/subject relate to the course of the study level learning outcomes			
Details of the actual core assessment task which students have completed			
The grading criteria used for the assessment task			
Grade nomenclature and categories used within the university, such as below pass, pass, credit, distinction and high distinction			
The grade distribution of the results in that offering of the unit			
The purposively selected sample of assignments from the bottom, top and midpoint of the range			
The marker's comments/feedback on the assignment			

2. What other information or materials would have helped you undertake the review more effectively and efficiently?								
3. In your opinion, how	v effective is the curre	nt IRU ACP for mor	nitoring and ma	intaining academic				
standards across IRU u			J	ŭ				
Not at all	Somewhat	Adequately	Very Wel	l Completely				
Please list up to three	reasons for making th	is rating.						
4. What are the best as	spects of the IRU ACP?	?						
5. What in your view are the aspects of the IRU ACP that could be improved? Do you have any specific suggestions for enhancing the process?								
	- '							
6. Please provide an es	stimate of how long it	took you to:						
Review each assessme	nt item (on average)							
Review the material								
Complete the report								