Research Integrity

Flowchart of complaints management process

Complaint received verbally or in writing by the DO via the University's RIO and is within ASSESSMENT OFFICER the scope of CDU's Responsible Conduct of Research Procedure policy. Preliminary assessment - gather and evaluate facts and information to determine whether the complaint, if proven, would constitute a breach of the Code. No evidence of a potential breach Evidence of a potential breach DESIGNATED OFFICER (DO) Dealt with as a breach Complaint referred Complaint may be referred that does not require for investigation. investigation and elsewhere internally* respondent informed. Research Integrity Investigation by a complaint finalised and Complaint dismissed panel. may be referred elsewhere internally* *Internal referral may occur for non-research Finding of a breach of No breach of the Code the Code and found and respondent integrity issues and/or further action under RESPONSIBLE EXECUTIVE OFFICER (REO) respondent informed informed relevant CDU Policy (e.g., to People and Culture for action under Charles Darin University's Enterprise Agreement or Integrity Officer for action under the Student Academic Integrity Allegations may be May be referred referred elsewhere Policy). elsewhere internally (e.g., IU) internally (e.g., IU) **Definitions** Code: The Australian Code for the Responsible Research Conduct of Research, 2018 Allegation misconduct found dismissed References Responsible Conduct of Research Policy Responsible Conduct of Research Procedure Referred elsewhere Student Academic Integrity Policy internally for further action*

